# Diversity in arbitration

Prof. dr. Liesbeth Lijnzaad

Who are the international arbitrators?





# Why is diversity important?

Importance of diversity - related to legitimacy

- Diversity is inherently good: representativeness is a democratic value
- Diversity is important because of the different perspectives (experience, knowledge, culture) a diverse group of lawyers will bring better, more inclusive judgments
- Diverse teams are generally more capable at solving complex issues

Lack of diversity makes international arbitration as a method less attractive > the public is watching!

More diversity amongst arbitrators will lead to more 'buy in': acceptance of awards

# Arbitration today

- Male, pale and stale (or: qualified, experienced and reliable?)
- Disproportionate number of older, white men from Western world
- Very few female, black, Eastern European (and others) lawyers in arbitration > lack of diversity has many facets
- Lack of diversity undermines legitimacy (authority) of international arbitration (NB. it is *international* arbitration)
- Access to arbitration is difficult: informal selection process, hardly specific rules
  - Very similar to judges' elections and appointment (but slightly worse)
- Absence of diversity is visible
  - how does it arise?
  - remedies?

## Possible reasons for lack of diversity?

- Lack of party appointments of non-mainstream arbitrators (> party autonomy)
- Unconscious bias of selectors
- 'Pipeline leaks' (we loose capable women along the way)
- Opaque selection procedures (> party autonomy, 'old boys' network')
- The ideal arbitrator looks different (aka 'male, pale and stale') no bright jacket, no high heals

## How does lack of diversity arise?

- Parties choose own arbitrator > both arbitrators decide on further arbitrators
  - Parties value the autonomy to choose arbitrator
  - Repetition of appointments, it is a small world
- Lawyers (counsel) are inherently conservative,
  - Bias in favour of an arbitrator used previously > but where does one build experience?
  - Need to win the case: no loose canons on the arbitral tribunal
  - We want reliability and proven expertise
- Arbitration is an 'old boys club' > need to know the important people
  - Selection mechanisms work against access for women and other outsiders
  - Women move in other circles
  - Attitudes work against access for women: "Yes, women are welcome, but so few are really interested" (the Smurfette)

#### Starting point: the person matters

the selection is problematic

## Arbitration: party autonomy in selection of arbitrators

- Process is fraught with hurdles for newcomers
- It is possible to require the selectors to present a diverse list for the selection process
- Long-list > short list > final proposal by Counsel to Client
- Party autonomy

## Parties only have one chance

- You can *only loose a case once* > we need a predictable, dependable arbitrator (but no guarantees)
- Profile: expertise (a specific field), experience (previous cases) and gravitas (ability to convince other arbitrators in panel)
- Parties make choice with a short-term perspective: *our* case now (no responsibility for the overall picture, or long-term development)

## Article(s) 8

Mostly relevant to interstate arbitration

## UN Charter (1945)

The United Nations shall place no restrictions on the eligibility of men and women to participate in any capacity and under conditions of equality in its principal and subsidiary organs.

## **CEDAW (1979)**

States Parties shall take all appropriate measures to ensure to women, on equal terms with men and without discrimination, the opportunity to represent their Governments at the international level and to participate in the work of international organizations.

## How to improve diversity?

- Space for young(- er) talent (average age is 70+)
- Space for non-western talent
- Space for female talent

#### This is not just happening

- Several international initiatives: role of ICSID, The Pledge, Gqual
  - Difference between commercial arbitration and interstate arbitration?
- \*Role of arbitral institutions in assisting parties towards more inclusiveness
- \*Role of the Appointing Authority, and knowledge of the field > information on female arbitrators to be more available
  - Rules or instructions by parent body (SPLOS, PCA Council) to Appointing Authority: guidelines on the role of AA could be formulated
- \* Role of senior women

# What is the benefit of improved diversity?

- Diverse teams (= arbitral tribunal) function better
  - Better understanding of non-Western perspectives > more inclusive
  - No group thinking
  - Wider range of knowledge and experiences
  - Greater potential to find alternative solutions
- Representativeness enhances sense of fairness and public confidence (the public is watching)
- Importance of renewal and development in arbitration necessary as caseload grows (> entry of new arbitrators is necessary)
- More career opportunities ©

