

Key Concepts of International Arbitration

Prof Yarik Kryvoi Senior Fellow British Institute of International and Comparative Law y.kryvoi@biicl.org







- 1. Evolution of international arbitration
- 2. Geography of international arbitration
- 3. Jurisdiction of arbitral tribunals
- 4. Consent and arbitration agreement
- 5. Key procedural elements: seat, interim measures, expedited proceedings
- 6. Arbitrators
- 7. Applicable law
- 8. Awards and review mechanisms
- 9. Enforcement
- 10. Relationship between arbitration tribunals and courts



Evolution of International Arbitration



Medieval & Renaissance periods

- Traders used local trade associations
 - The Hanseatic League arbitration system to resolve disputes among its members




State-State arbitration

- Jay Treaty between the United States and Great Britain (1794)
- Creation of the Permanent Court of Arbitration (PCA) in 1899



Evolution of Applicable Law





Lex Mercatoria

- Merchants accept judgements of fellow merchants; the role of reputation
- No supervision or intervention of domestic courts

Domestic law

- States bacame bigger and 'nationalised'
 dispute settlement procedures
- National law and courts play a key role



Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR)

Non-binding ADR Processes without Third-party Intervention	Non-Binding ADR Processes with Third-party Intervention	Binding ADR Processes
 Negotiation (including diplomatic) Cooling off periods 	 Mediation Mini-trial Conciliation Stakeholder dialogue Early neutral evaluation Judicial settlement conference 	 Expert determination Arbitration Med-arb (hybrid process)



Why ADR is Widely Used?



Can be quick and inexpensive



Can be less confrontational: instead of arbitration in some cultures people prefer face-saving, mutually agreeable compromises to awards proclaiming one party's rights



But can be difficult if the parties and the mediator/conciliator do not share a similar cultural background



If other ADR procedures fail, parties may have to refer to arbitration (*ad hoc* or institutional)



Mediation and Conciliation

Mediation

persuade the parties to focus on their real interests, mediator does not assume sole responsibility for generating solutions but facilitates the parties' own discussion and representation of their own interests

- Singapore Mediation Convention
- WIPO Mediation Rules
- UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Mediation

Conciliation

the main role is to make a proposal for settlement, the conciliator plays a relatively direct role in the actual resolution of a dispute and even advises the parties on certain solutions by making proposals for settlement

- UNCITRAL Conciliation Rules
- ICSID Conciliation Rules
- United Nations Model Rules for the Conciliation of Disputes between States





Expert Determination & Dispute Review Boards

Expert Determination


an independent third party who is an expert in the subject to be considered appointed to decide the dispute. The expert's decision is binding on the parties, unless agreed otherwise at the outset

Particularly suited to valuation disputes and technical issues rather than detailed legal issues

Dispute Review Boards

an independent panel of impartial professionals providing guidance to resolve project issues and mitigate their impact

For example, the Channel Tunnel Project any dispute had first to be referred to the Panel of experts and them to ICC arbitration. Similar to expert determination.





Characteristics of Arbitration

No official definition

Dispute settlement

Binding nature


Consensual nature (jurisdiction, withdrawal)

Out of domestic courts



© 2025 Arbitration Lab | arbitrationlab.com Arbitration Lab

International Arbitration Seats



Source: International Bar Association, The Current State and Future of International Arbitration: Regional Perspectives IBA Arb 40 Subcommittee (2015)



Top-10 Arbitration Rules

	Arbitration rules	Cases administered in 2022
1.	American Arbitration Association (AAA)	10,273
2.	China International Economic and Trade Arbitration Commission (CIETAC)	4,086
3.	Endon Maritime Arbitrators Association (LMAA)	1,807
4.	International Chamber of Commerce (ICC)	710
5.	Singapore International Arbitration Centre (SIAC)	357
6.	International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID)	346
7	Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre	344
8.	The London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA)	327
9.	Permanent Court of Arbitration (PCA)	204
10.	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce (SCC)	143



Pros and Cons of Arbitration

Pros

- Allows expert analysis of complex issues
- Less formal and tailored proceedings
- Sometimes faster (particularly with expedited procedure)
- Almost always in a "neutral" country
- Confidential
- Greater finality


Cons

- Very limited judicial review (no "appeal")
- Harder to delay results
- Output Output
- Limited checks on arbitrator's powers
- Experience of arbitrators often differs widely



The Agreement to Arbitrate

- Arbitration clause ("model clauses")
- Submission agreement (agreement after a dispute has actually arisen)
- Should in "in writing" under the New York Convention
- Once agreed the parties may not unilaterally withdraw
- Enforcement of arbitration agreement





Arbitrability

Arbitrability

- The need to have a genuine dispute
- A real disagreement rather than a fake dispute



Examples of non-arbitrable disputes:

- Competition (antitrust) law
- Securities law
- Criminal law
- The rationale: to benefit not only the parties but society at large



Arbitration Clause Elements

- Choice of procedural rules
- Governing law
- The seat of arbitration
- Language
- Arbitral tribunal formation and composition
- Confidentiality

Recommended Clauses

Future disputes

For contracting parties who wish to have future disputes referred to arbitration under the LCIA Rules, the following clause is recommended. Words/spaces in square brackets should be deleted/completed as appropriate.

"Any dispute arising out of or in connection with this contract, including any question regarding its existence, validity or termination, shall be referred to and finally resolved by arbitration under the LCIA Rules, which Rules are deemed to be incorporated by reference into this clause.

The number of arbitrators shall be (one/three).

The seat, or legal place, of arbitration shall be (City and/or Country).

The language to be used in the arbitral proceedings shall be [].

The governing law of the contract shall be the substantive law of [_]."



Types of Jurisdiction

Jurisdiction: the authority to hear and decide

type of jurisdiction	definition	examples
Subject matter jurisdiction	disputes based on the specific issues or topics outlined in the arbitration agreement	disputes arising out of a contract, disputes arising out of an investment
Personal jurisdiction	parties bound by the arbitration agreement (typically those named in the agreement)	specific states, investors, individuals
Temporal jurisdiction	the arbitration agreement must have been valid and in effect at the time the dispute arose	Specific limits in the arbitration clause, limitation periods, post- termination





Two Types of Arbitration





© Arbitration Lab | arbitrationlab.com Arbitration Lab

Applicable Law in Arbitration

Law which governs

- The agreement to arbitrate
- Provisional measures
- The actual arbitration proceedings
- Law applicable to substance of the dispute
- Law applicable to international recognition and enforcement of awards

The role of domestic law

- Enforce an agreement to arbitrate
- Provisional measures
- Challenging awards
- Recognition and enforcement of awards





Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (1985)

- Harmonization of arbitration laws
- Modernization of arbitration practices
- Flexibility and party autonomy
- Encouragement of arbitrationfriendly legal environment
- Adaptability

Legislation based on Model Law egislation based on Model Law adopted only in certain subnational jurisdictions.



The Arbitration Process



Arbitration Awards

- Binding decisions of tribunals
- Interim and final
- Jurisdiction and merits
- Awards on quantum, costs, other procedural aspects
- Final award contents: reasoning, decision, costs

INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR SETTLEMENT OF INVESTMENT DISPUTES

WESTWATER RESOURCES, INC.

Claimant

ν,

THE REPUBLIC OF TÜRKIYE

Respondent

ICSID Case No. ARB/18/46

AWARD

Members of the Tribunal Honourable Ian Binnie, C.C., K.C. Professor Robert G. Volterra, Co-Arbitrator Professor Brigitte Stern, Co-Arbitrator


> Secretary to the Tribunal Anneliese Fleckenstein

Date of dispatch to the Parties: 3 March 2023



New York Convention (1958)


- Enforcement of arbitral awards in different countries
- Ratified by over 170 states
- The backbone of international arbitration
- Limited grounds for refusal of enforcement
- Minimization of local bias





Enforcement of English Judgments

States and territories with which England and Wales have reciprocal enforcement arrangements for court judgments




Hague Convention on
Choice of Court
AgreementsNorway-UK Bilateral
Treaty*Administration of
Justice Act 1920Foreign Judgments
(Reciprocal
Enforcement) Act 1933

source



Arbitration Awards v Court Judgements





© 2025 Arbitration Lab | arbitrationlab.com Arbitration Lab

Recognition and Enforcement of Arbitral

- New York Convention's Role
- Other regimes
- National Implementation
- Challenges and Defenses

2	IN THE	_ COURT OF	COUNTY			
3	STATE O					
4						
5	PLAINTIFF)				
6	PLAISHEE)				
7	VS.) Case N	la			
8)				
9)				
10	DEFENDANTS					
11	COMPLAINT TO ENFORCE ARBITRATION AWARD					
12		Weberleff, When delth Proceedings				
13	and the second se	, Plaintiff, files this Complaint				
14	against Defendant, and would state in support of this					
15	complaint the following:					
16	1. This Court has jurisdiction of this proceeding pursuant to the Statutes and Court					
17	Rules of the State of					
18	2. Plaintiff is a resident	of County,	whose address is			
19	Defendant	is a resident of	County,			
20	whose res	idence address is	. Defendant may be			
21	served with process pursuant to the					
22			CONTRACT BOULED OF COUNTERS			
23	address at					
24	On or about	, Plaintiff and Def	endant agreed to settle a			
25	dispute between them by binding an	bitration. The dispute was arbi	itrated by arbitrator,			
26	in the City of, Stat	e of All doc	uments relevant to the			
27	arbitration are attached hereto as fo	llows:				
28	(a) Arbitration A	greement. Exhibit "A.				



Role of National Courts

- Jurisdictional Challenges
- Inforcement of Awards
- Setting Aside Awards







Prof Yarik Kryvoi y.kryvoi@biicl.org

