

$$1a) \vec{L} = \vec{r} \wedge \vec{p} = -i\hbar (\vec{r} \wedge \vec{\nabla}) = -i\hbar \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \wedge \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x \\ \partial_y \\ \partial_z \end{pmatrix} = -i\hbar \begin{pmatrix} y\partial_z - z\partial_y \\ z\partial_x - x\partial_z \\ x\partial_y - y\partial_x \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} L_x \\ L_y \\ L_z \end{pmatrix} \text{ (repetition)}$$

The easiest way is to calculate each commutation relation explicitly.
A more formal treatment can be found below.

$$\begin{aligned} [L_x, L_y]\Psi &= L_x L_y \Psi - L_y L_x \Psi = -\hbar^2 (y\partial_z - z\partial_y)(z\partial_x - x\partial_z)\Psi + \hbar^2 (z\partial_x - x\partial_z)(y\partial_z - z\partial_y)\Psi \\ &= -\hbar^2 [y \cdot \partial_z(z\partial_x) - y\partial_z(x\partial_z) - z\partial_y(z\partial_x) + z\partial_y(x\partial_z) - z\partial_x(y\partial_z) + z\partial_x(z\partial_y) + x\partial_z(y\partial_z) - x\partial_z(z\partial_y)]\Psi \\ &= -\hbar^2 [\underbrace{y \cdot \partial_x + yz\partial_x^2}_{\partial_i \text{'s commute, } x,y,z \text{ alone commute!}} - \cancel{y\partial_z^2} - \underbrace{z^2\partial_y\partial_x}_{\text{cancel}} + \underbrace{zx\partial_y\partial_z}_{\text{cancel}} - \cancel{zy\partial_x\partial_z} + \underbrace{z^2\partial_x\partial_y}_{\text{cancel}} + \underbrace{xy\partial_z^2}_{\text{cancel}} - \underbrace{x\partial_y - xz\partial_z\partial_y}_{\text{cancel}}]\Psi \\ &\Rightarrow -\hbar^2 [y\partial_x - x\partial_y]\Psi = -i\hbar [-i\hbar \{y\partial_x - x\partial_y\}]\Psi = +i\hbar L_z \Psi \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\underline{[L_x, L_y] = +i\hbar L_z}}$$

similarly:

$$\begin{aligned} [L_y, L_z]\Psi &= L_y L_z \Psi - L_z L_y \Psi = -\hbar^2 (z\partial_x - x\partial_z)(x\partial_y - y\partial_x)\Psi + \hbar^2 (x\partial_y - y\partial_x)(z\partial_x - x\partial_z)\Psi \\ &= -\hbar^2 [z\partial_x(x\partial_y) - z\partial_x(y\partial_x) - x\partial_z(x\partial_y) + x\partial_z(y\partial_x) - x\partial_y(z\partial_x) + x\partial_y(x\partial_z) + y\partial_x(z\partial_x) - y\partial_x(x\partial_z)]\Psi \\ &= -\hbar^2 [\underbrace{z\partial_y + zx\partial_x\partial_y}_{\text{cancel}} - \cancel{zy\partial_x^2} - \underbrace{x^2\partial_z\partial_y}_{\text{cancel}} + \underbrace{xy\partial_z\partial_x}_{\text{cancel}} - \cancel{xz\partial_y\partial_x} + \underbrace{x^2\partial_y\partial_z}_{\text{cancel}} + \underbrace{zy\partial_x^2}_{\text{cancel}} - \underbrace{y\partial_z - yx\partial_x\partial_z}_{\text{cancel}}]\Psi \\ &= -\hbar^2 [z\partial_y - y\partial_z]\Psi = -i\hbar [-i\hbar (z\partial_y - y\partial_z)]\Psi = +i\hbar L_x \Psi \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\underline{[L_y, L_z] = +i\hbar L_x}}$$

other elements: $[L_x, L_x] = L_x^2 - L_x^2 = 0$ (similar for other components)

$$[L_y, L_x] = L_y L_x - L_x L_y = -(L_x L_y - L_y L_x) = -[L_x, L_y] = -i\hbar L_z \text{ (similar for other comp.)}$$

\Rightarrow using the definition of the Levi-Civita symbol: $\underline{\underline{[L_k, L_m] = +i\hbar \epsilon_{kmn} L_n}}$

Note that here we use Einstein's summation rule: sum over same indices! (not yet important!)

This problem can also be solved in a more formal, but purely algebraic (no differential operators) way (not required to obtain the points)

We already know: $\vec{L} = \vec{r} \wedge \vec{p}$, which can be written as $L_i = \epsilon_{ijk} \cdot r_j \cdot p_k$

sum over re-occurring indices
(Einstein's summation rule)

$$\Rightarrow [L_i, L_e] = [\epsilon_{ijk} r_j p_k, \epsilon_{emn} r_m p_n] = \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{emn} [r_j p_k, r_m p_n]$$

From Algebra courses (or Wikipedia...) we know: $[ab, cd] = a[b, cd] + ac[b, d] + [a, c]db + c[a, d]b$

(this can be checked by direct inspection)

$$\Rightarrow [L_i, L_e] = \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{emn} \left\{ r_j [p_k, r_m] p_n + r_j r_m [p_k, p_n] + [r_j, r_m] p_n p_k + r_m [r_j, p_n] p_k \right\}$$

$$= +\epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{emn} \cdot i\hbar \left\{ -r_j p_n \delta_{km} + r_m p_k \delta_{jn} \right\}$$

Algebra course, wiki, or direct inspection

$$= +i\hbar \left\{ \delta_{ie} (\delta_{jm} \delta_{kn} - \delta_{jn} \delta_{km}) - \delta_{im} (\delta_{je} \delta_{kn} - \delta_{jn} \delta_{ke}) + \delta_{in} (\delta_{je} \delta_{km} - \delta_{jm} \delta_{ke}) \right\} \cdot \left\{ r_m p_k \delta_{jn} - r_j p_n \delta_{km} \right\}$$

drop this since $i=e$ trivial!

from $j=n, e \neq j$

$$= +i\hbar \left\{ \delta_{im} \delta_{ke} r_m p_k - \delta_{in} \delta_{je} r_j p_n \right\}$$

only 2 terms:
 $j=n$ and/or $k=m$
 \downarrow \downarrow
 $e \neq j$ $e \neq k$
otherwise $\epsilon=0$
because of identical indices.

def. of ϵ

$$= +i\hbar \{ r_i p_e - r_e p_i \}$$

$$= +i\hbar \epsilon_{qie} L_q \hat{p}_i = +i\hbar \epsilon_{ieq} L_q$$

cyclic permutation

2a

Assumption: we have an observable \hat{A}
with two eigenstates $|\alpha_1\rangle$ and $|\alpha_2\rangle$
with the corresponding eigenvalues a_1 and a_2 .

\Rightarrow A linear combination $\psi = c_1 |\alpha_1\rangle + c_2 |\alpha_2\rangle$ results in
numbers

$$\hat{A}|\psi\rangle = c_1 \hat{A}|\alpha_1\rangle + c_2 \hat{A}|\alpha_2\rangle = c_1 a_1 |\alpha_1\rangle + c_2 a_2 |\alpha_2\rangle = a_1 \left[c_1 |\alpha_1\rangle + \frac{a_2}{a_1} c_2 |\alpha_2\rangle \right]$$

i) if $a_1 = a_2$ (the eigenstates are degenerate) we obtain

$$\hat{A}|\psi\rangle = a_1 [c_1 |\alpha_1\rangle + c_2 |\alpha_2\rangle] = a_1 |\psi\rangle, \text{ i.e. } |\psi\rangle \text{ is an eigenstate of } \hat{A}.$$

ii) if $a_1 \neq a_2$ we obtain $\hat{A}\psi = a_1 [c_1 |\alpha_1\rangle + c_3 |\alpha_2\rangle]$, i.e. a different
linear combination than $|\psi\rangle$ $c_3 = a_2/a_1$

\Rightarrow no eigenstate.

b) Axioms for a scalar product (complex vector space):

\hookrightarrow see "hermitean Sesquilinear form"

I) linear in first argument: $(x, ay+z) = a(x, y) + (x, z)$

II) semi-linear in second argument: $(ax+y, z) = a^*(x, z) + (y, z)$

III) hermitean: $(x, y) = (y, x)^*$

IV) positive: $(x, x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x$; $(x, x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$

To show: if $(h, \hat{Q}h) = (\hat{Q}h, h) \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}$ (Hilbert space)

then $(f, \hat{Q}g) = (\hat{Q}f, g) \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{H}$

Solution: i) set $h = f+g$

$$\Rightarrow (f+g, \hat{Q}(f+g)) = (f, \hat{Q}f) + (f, \hat{Q}g) + (g, \hat{Q}f) + (g, \hat{Q}g)$$

\hat{Q} linear and
I) and II)

$$\stackrel{!}{=} (\hat{Q}(f+g), f+g) = (\hat{Q}f, f) + (\hat{Q}g, f) + (\hat{Q}f, g) + (\hat{Q}g, g)$$

from
assumption

\hat{Q} linear +
I) and II)

or ~~$(f, \hat{Q}f) + (f, \hat{Q}g) + (g, \hat{Q}f) + (g, \hat{Q}g)$~~ = ~~$(\hat{Q}f, f) + (\hat{Q}g, f) + (\hat{Q}f, g) + (\hat{Q}g, g)$~~ $(\hat{Q}g, g)$ - from assumption (Eq 1)

ii) similarly set $h = f + ig$

$$\Rightarrow (f+ig, \hat{Q}(f+ig)) \underset{\substack{\hat{Q} \text{ and } (\cdot, \cdot) \\ \text{linear}}}{=} (f, \hat{Q}f) + (f, \hat{Q}(ig)) + (ig, \hat{Q}f) + (ig, \hat{Q}(ig))$$

$$= (f, \hat{Q}f) + i(f, \hat{Q}g) \underset{\substack{\text{semi-linear } (\mathbb{C}) \\ \uparrow}}{-i} (g, \hat{Q}f) \underset{-1}{-i} (g, \hat{Q}g)$$

$$\stackrel{\substack{! \\ \text{assumption}}}{=} (\hat{Q}(f+ig), f+ig) = (\hat{Q}f, f) + i(\hat{Q}f, g) + (\hat{Q}(ig), f) + (\hat{Q}(ig), ig)$$

$$= (\hat{Q}f, f) + i(\hat{Q}f, g) \underset{\substack{\text{semi-lin } (\mathbb{C}) \\ \uparrow}}{-i} (g, f) \underset{-1}{-i} (g, g)$$

or $(f, \hat{Q}f) + i(f, \hat{Q}g) - i(g, \hat{Q}f) + (g, \hat{Q}g) = \underbrace{(\hat{Q}f, f)}_{(f, \hat{Q}f)} + i(\hat{Q}f, g) - i(g, f) + \underbrace{(\hat{Q}g, g)}_{(g, \hat{Q}g)} \quad (\text{Eq 2})$

combining Eq 1 and Eq 2 results in

$(g, \hat{Q}g)$ - from initial assumption

$$\frac{1}{2}(Eq 1 - Eq 2) \Rightarrow$$

$$(g, \hat{Q}f) = (\hat{Q}g, f)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(Eq 1 + Eq 2) \Rightarrow$$

$$(f, \hat{Q}g) = (\hat{Q}f, g)$$